## 

## **CLAIMS**

## What is claimed is:

4	4	1 .1 1 /	~		. 1	• ,	
7		A mathad t	~ * *	arrantinaad.	nativaria	COCHMENTS?	COMMERCING
I .	L	A HICKHOO I	011	n comuzeu	HELWOIK	SCULLIEV.	comprising:
_			- r		~~~~	~~~~~,	T

- 2 (a) identifying a set of policies, each policy having a condition associated therewith;
- 3 (b) determining whether the conditions are met; and
- 4 (c) activating the policies whose associated conditions are determined to be met;
- 5 (d) wherein the conditions represent a priority of the policy.
- 1 2. The method as recited in claim 1, and further comprising determining whether a user confirms the activation of the policies.
- 1 3. The method as recited in claim 2, and further comprising activating the policies if the user confirms.
- 1 4. The method as recited in claim 1, and further comprising updating the set of policies.
- The method as recited in claim 4, wherein the updating includes receiving another inactive policy, determining whether the user accepts the inactive policy, and adding the inactive policy to the set if the user accepts the inactive policy.
- The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the activation of the policies includes adding the policies to a set of a plurality of active policies, and executing security actions associated with the active policies if associated limits are met.

- 1 7. The method as recited in claim 6, and further comprising determining whether
- 2 the conditions associated with the active policies are still met, and de-activating
- 3 the active policies if the associated conditions are not met.
- 1 8. The method as recited in claim 6, and further comprising identifying currently
- 2 executed security actions, determining whether a conflict exists between the
- 3 currently executed security actions, and resolving any conflicts between the
- 4 currently executed security actions.
- 1 9. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the conditions include a time factor.
- 1 10. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the conditions include a source of the policies.
- 1 11. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the conditions include a severity of security actions associated with the policies.
- 1 12. A computer program product for prioritized network security, comprising:
- 2 (a) computer code for identifying a set of policies, each policy having a condition 3 associated therewith;
- 4 (b) computer code for determining whether the conditions are met; and
- 5 (c) computer code for activating the policies whose associated conditions are
- 6 determined to be met;
- 7 (d) wherein the conditions represent a priority of the policy.
- 1 13. The computer program product as recited in claim 12, and further comprising
- 2 computer code for determining whether a user confirms the activation of the
- 3 policies.

- 1 14. The computer program product as recited in claim 13, and further comprising computer code for activating the policies if the user confirms.
- 1 15. The computer program product as recited in claim 12, and further comprising computer code for updating the set of policies.
- 1 16. The computer program product as recited in claim 15, wherein the updating
  2 includes receiving another inactive policy, determining whether the user accepts
  3 the inactive policy, and adding the inactive policy to the set if the user accepts
  4 the inactive policy.
- 1 17. The computer program product as recited in claim 12, wherein the activation of the policies includes adding the policies to a set of a plurality of active policies, and executing security actions associated with the active policies if associated limits are met.
- 1 18. The computer program product as recited in claim 17, and further comprising
  2 computer code for determining whether the conditions associated with the active
  3 policies are still met, and de-activating the active policies if the associated
  4 conditions are not met.
- 1 19. The computer program product as recited in claim 17, and further comprising
  computer code for identifying currently executed security actions, determining
  whether a conflict exists between the currently executed security actions, and
  resolving any conflicts between the currently executed security actions.
- 1 20. The computer program product as recited in claim 12, wherein the conditions include a time factor.

The computer program product as recited in claim 12, wherein the conditions

2

1

21.

	2		include a source of the policies.
	1	22.	The computer program product as recited in claim 12, wherein the conditions
	2		include a severity of security actions associated with the policies.
den wed total day of the Bull ling He	1	23.	A system for prioritized network security, comprising:
	2	(a)	logic for identifying a set of policies, each policy having a condition associated
	3		therewith;
	4	(b)	logic for determining whether the conditions are met; and
	5	(c)	logic for activating the policies whose associated conditions are determined to be
	6		met;
	7	(d)	wherein the conditions represent a priority of the policy.
	1	24.	A method for prioritized network security, comprising:
	2	(a)	identifying a set of policies each having an associated security action and a limit
the suff start start start she	3		for triggering the security action;
	4	(b)	determining whether the limits are met;
다. 12년 12년	5	(c)	executing the security actions of the policies whose associated limits are
nii.	6		determined to be met;
	7	(d)	identifying currently executed security actions;
	8	(e)	determining whether a conflict exists between the currently executed security
	9		actions; and
	10	(f)	resolving any conflicts between the currently executed security actions.
	1	25.	The method as recited in claim 24, wherein each policy has an associated

priority, and the conflicts are resolved based on the priority.

A method for prioritized network security, comprising:

updating the set of inactive policies including:

receiving another inactive policy,

determining whether the user accepts the inactive policy, and

(i)

(ii)

5

6

7

(b)

1

26.

	8		(iii)	adding	g the inactive policy to the set if the user accepts the inactive	
	9			policy	•	
	10	(c)	detern	nining v	whether the conditions are met for the inactive policies;	
	11	(d)	detern	mining whether a user confirms the activation of the inactive policies if the		
	12		associated conditions are met; and			
	13	(e)	activa	activating the inactive policies if the user confirms, the activation		
	14		(i)	adding	g the inactive policies to a set of a plurality of active policies,	
	15		(ii)	detern	nining whether the conditions associated with the active policies	
	16			are sti	ll met,	
	17		(iii)	de-act	ivating the active policies if the associated conditions are not met,	
ļuž	18			and		
	19		(iv)	execu	ting the security actions associated with the active policies if the	
iŲ in	20			associ	ated conditions are met and the limits are met, the execution of the	
	21			securi	ty actions including:	
the and my thin the tail the	22			(1)	identifying currently executed security actions,	
ì	23			(2)	determining whether a conflict exists between the currently	
U	24				executed security actions, and	
	25			(3)	resolving any conflicts between the currently executed security	
k. 4k 4k 4i. 4i. ji.	26				actions.	
-	27					